

Estimates of the Derivatives by the Shimizu-Ahlfors Characteristic Functions

by

Shinji YAMASHITA

(Received September 25, 1985)

1. Introduction

The Shimizu-Ahlfors characteristic function of f meromorphic in $D_A = \{ |z| < A \}$, $0 < A \leq \infty$, is the nondecreasing function

$$T_1(r, f) = \pi^{-1} \int_0^r t^{-1} \left[\iint_{|z| < t} f^*(z)^2 dx dy \right] dt \leq \infty$$

of r , $0 < r \leq A$, where $f^* = |f'|/(1 + |f|^2)$, $z = x + iy$. If f is holomorphic in D_A , we set

$$T_2(r, f) = \pi^{-1} \int_0^r t^{-1} \left[\iint_{|z| < t} |f'(z)|^2 dx dy \right] dt$$

for r , $0 < r \leq A$, and further if f is bounded, $|f| < 1$, then we set

$$T_3(r, f) = \pi^{-1} \int_0^r t^{-1} \left[\iint_{|z| < t} f^*(z)^2 dx dy \right] dt$$

for r , $0 < r \leq A$, where $f^* = |f'|/(1 - |f|^2)$. These are nondecreasing functions of r .

First, for f meromorphic in $D = D_1$, and for r , $0 < r \leq 1$, we set

$$\alpha_1(f) = \sup_{w \in D} (1 - |w|^2) f^*(w), \quad \beta_1(r, f) = \sup_{w \in D} T_1(r, f_w),$$

where

$$f_w(z) = f\left(\frac{z+w}{1+\bar{w}z}\right), \quad z \in D.$$

THEOREM 1. For f meromorphic in D , and for r , $0 < r < 1$, we have

$$(1.1) \quad \left(\log \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r^2}} \right)^{-1} \beta_1(r, f) \leq \alpha_1(f)^2 \leq r^{-2} [\exp\{2\beta_1(r, f)\} - 1].$$

We call f normal if $\alpha_1(f) < \infty$ [2]. It then follows immediately from (1.1) that

$$\alpha_1(f) < \infty \Leftrightarrow \beta_1(r, f) < \infty \quad \text{for each } r \in (0, 1).$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \beta_1(r, f) < \infty \quad \text{for an } r \in (0, 1).$$

If $\beta_1(1, f) < \infty$, that is, if $f \in UBC$ [6], then it follows from the right-hand estimate of (1.1) that f is normal as is observed in [6].

Secondly, for f meromorphic in $C = D_\infty$, and for r , $0 < r \leq \infty$, we set

$$\alpha_2(f) = \sup_{w \in C} f^*(z), \quad \beta_2(r, f) = \sup_{w \in C} T_1(r, f_{(w)}),$$

where

$$f_{(w)}(z) = f(z + w), \quad z \in C.$$

THEOREM 2. For f meromorphic in C , and for r , $0 < r < \infty$, we have

$$(1.2) \quad 2r^{-2}\beta_2(r, f) \leq \alpha_2(f)^2 \leq r^{-2}[\exp\{2\beta_2(r, f)\} - 1].$$

We call f a Yosida function, or a function of class (A) in K. Yosida's sense [7], if $\alpha_2(f) < \infty$. It then follows immediately from (1.2) that

$$\alpha_2(f) < \infty \Leftrightarrow \beta_2(r, f) < \infty \quad \text{for each } r \in (0, \infty).$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \beta_2(r, f) < \infty \quad \text{for an } r \in (0, \infty).$$

If the right-hand term in (1.2) is bounded as $r \rightarrow \infty$, then f is rational (see [4, Theorem V.3, p. 197]), so that, $\alpha_2(f) < \infty$ trivially holds.

Thirdly, for f holomorphic in D , and for r , $0 < r \leq 1$, we set

$$\alpha_3(f) = \sup_{w \in D} (1 - |w|^2) |f'(w)|, \quad \beta_3(r, f) = \sup_{w \in D} T_2(r, f_w).$$

THEOREM 3. For f holomorphic in D , and for r , $0 < r < 1$, we have

$$(1.3) \quad \left(\log \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r^2}} \right)^{-1} \beta_3(r, f) \leq \alpha_3(f)^2 \leq 2r^{-2} \beta_3(r, f).$$

We call f Bloch if $\alpha_3(f) < \infty$ [3]. It then follows immediately from (1.3) that

$$\alpha_3(f) < \infty \Leftrightarrow \beta_3(r, f) < \infty \quad \text{for each } r \in (0, 1).$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \beta_3(r, f) < \infty \quad \text{for an } r \in (0, 1).$$

If $\beta_3(1, f) < \infty$, then f is a BMOA function, and vice versa, (see, for example, [3]) because

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| f\left(\frac{re^{it} + w}{1 + \bar{w}re^{it}}\right) - f(w) \right|^2 dt = 2T_2(r, f_w),$$

so that, it follows from the right-hand estimate of (1.3) that each BMOA function is Bloch as is observed in [3].

Finally, for f holomorphic and bounded, $|f| < 1$, in D , and for r , $0 < r \leq 1$, we set

$$\alpha_4(f) = \sup_{w \in D} (1 - |w|^2) f^*(w), \quad \beta_4(r, f) = \sup_{w \in D} T_3(r, f_w).$$

In this case, it follows from the Schwarz-Pick lemma that $\alpha_4(f) \leq 1$. Thus, $\beta_4(r, f) \leq -(1/2) \log(1 - r^2)$.

THEOREM 4. For f holomorphic and bounded, $|f| < 1$, in D , and for r , $0 < r < 1$, we have

$$(1.4) \quad \left(\log \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - r^2}} \right)^{-1} \beta_4(r, f) \leq \alpha_4(f)^2 \leq r^{-2} [1 - \exp\{-2\beta_4(r, f)\}].$$

We note that the right-most in (1.4) is not greater than one.

2. The mean-value expression

For u subharmonic in D_A , $0 < A \leq \infty$, we set its mean

$$M(r, u) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(re^{i\theta}) d\theta, \quad 0 < r < A,$$

and $M(A, u) = \lim_{r \rightarrow A} M(r, u) \leq \infty$.

In the case $A = 1$ we set $u_w(z) = u((z + w)/(1 + \bar{w}z))$, $z, w \in D$. Then $M(r, u_w)$ is the value at w of the least harmonic majorant of u in $\Delta(w, r) = \{z; |z - w|/|1 - \bar{w}z| < r\}$, $0 < r < 1$. We suppose that u is so smooth that the Green formula holds:

$$r \frac{d}{dr} M(r, u_w) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint_{|z| < r} \Delta(u_w)(z) dx dy, \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

On integrating we now obtain, for $0 < r \leq 1$,

$$(2.1) \quad M(r, u_w) - u(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^r t^{-1} \left[\iint_{|z| < t} \Delta(u_w)(z) dx dy \right] dt.$$

In the case $A = \infty$ we set $u_{(w)}(z) = u(z + w)$, $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$. Then under the obvious assumption on $u_{(w)}$ we have, for $0 < r \leq \infty$,

$$(2.2) \quad M(r, u_{(w)}) - u(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^r t^{-1} \left[\iint_{|z| < t} \Delta(u_{(w)})(z) dx dy \right] dt.$$

The mean $M(r, u_{(w)})$ is the value at w of the least harmonic majorant of u in $D(w, r) = \{z; |z - w| < r\}$, $0 < r < \infty$.

We begin with $T_1(r, f_w)$ and $T_1(r, f_{(w)})$. If f is meromorphic in D_A , then $f = f_1/f_2$, where f_1 and f_2 are holomorphic functions with no common zero in D_A . It is easy to see that $\Phi = \log(|f_1|^2 + |f_2|^2)$ is subharmonic in D_A because $\Delta\Phi = 4f^{*2}$.

For $T_1(r, f_w)$ we can conclude from (2.1) that

$$2T_1(r, f_w) = M(r, \Phi_w) - \Phi(w), \quad 0 < r \leq 1.$$

For $T_1(r, f_{(w)})$ we can conclude from (2.2) that

$$2T_1(r, f_{(w)}) = M(r, \Phi_{(w)}) - \Phi(w), \quad 0 < r \leq \infty.$$

To consider $T_2(r, f_w)$ we remark that $\Delta(|f|^2) = \Delta(|f-a|^2) = 4|f'|^2$ for all constants a , and we remember the consideration by Lehto [1]. On applying (2.1) to $u = |f|^2$ in D we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad 2T_2(r, f_w) = M(r, |f_w|^2) - |f(w)|^2 = M(r, |f_w - f(w)|^2), \quad 0 < r \leq 1.$$

Finally, to consider $T_3(r, f_w)$ we remark that $\Psi = -\log(1 - |f|^2)$ is subharmonic in D because $\Delta\Psi = 4f^{*2}$. On applying (2.1) to $u = \Psi$ we obtain

$$(2.4) \quad 2T_3(r, f_w) = M(r, \Psi_w) - \Psi(w), \quad 0 < r \leq 1.$$

See [5] for related topics.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

To prove the left-hand side inequality of (1.1) we let $E \subset D$ and $0 < r < 1$. We shall prove

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta(w, r) \subset E \Rightarrow T_1(r, f_w) \leq \left(\log \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r^2}} \right) \left[\sup_{z \in E} (1 - |z|^2) f^*(z) \right]^2.$$

On setting $E = D$ we obtain the left half of (1.1). For the proof of (3.1) we suppose that the supremum a in the square brackets is finite. Then

$$\iint_{|z| < t} (f_w)^*(z)^2 dx dy = \iint_{\Delta(w, t)} f^*(z)^2 dx dy \leq a^2 \iint_{\Delta(w, t)} (1 - |z|^2)^{-2} dx dy = \frac{\pi a^2 t^2}{1 - t^2},$$

whence

$$T_1(r, f_w) \leq \frac{a^2}{2} \log \frac{1}{1-r^2}.$$

To prove the right-hand side inequality of (1.1) we let $0 < r < 1$. We then have

$$(3.2) \quad zg(z) = \frac{f_w(rz) - f(w)}{1 + \overline{f(w)} f_w(rz)} = f_1(z)/f_2(z),$$

where g is meromorphic on $\bar{D} = \{|z| \leq 1\}$, and f_1 and f_2 are holomorphic with no common zero on \bar{D} . The central term in (3.2) is interpreted as $1/f_w(rz)$ if $f(w) = \infty$. Then, $f_1(0) = 0 \neq f_2(0)$ and

$$(3.3) \quad |g(0)| = r(1 - |w|^2) f^*(w).$$

Since there exists a holomorphic function h on \bar{D} with $f_1(z) = zh(z)$, $z \in \bar{D}$, it follows that $g = h/f_2$. By the similar reasoning as in Section 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.4) \quad & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log(|f_1(e^{it})|^2 + |f_2(e^{it})|^2) dt - 2 \log |f_2(0)| \\
 &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 \rho^{-1} \left[\iint_{|z| < \rho r} (f_w)^*(z)^2 dx dy \right] d\rho = 2T_1(r, f_w).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \log(1 + |g(0)|^2) &= \log(|h(0)|^2 + |f_2(0)|^2) - 2 \log |f_2(0)| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log(|h(e^{it})|^2 + |f_2(e^{it})|^2) dt - 2 \log |f_2(0)|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $|h(e^{it})| = |f_1(e^{it})|$ it follows from (3.4) that

$$\log(1 + |g(0)|^2) \leq 2T_1(r, f_w),$$

which, together with (3.3) yields that

$$(3.5) \quad (1 - |w|^2)^2 f^*(w)^2 \leq r^{-2} [\exp\{2T_1(r, f_w)\} - 1].$$

The right-hand side of (1.1) now follows from (3.5).

Remark. With the aid of (3.1) and (3.5) we have, for f meromorphic in D , the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} (1 - |z|^2) f^*(z) = 0. \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \lim_{|w| \rightarrow 1} T_1(r, f_w) = 0 \quad \text{for each } r \in (0, 1). \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \lim_{|w| \rightarrow 1} T_1(r, f_w) = 0 \quad \text{for an } r \in (0, 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

We further remark that the equality in (3.5) for a pair w, r ($0 < r < 1$), holds if and only if there exists a constant c such that

$$\frac{f(z) - f(w)}{1 + \overline{f(w)} f(z)} = \frac{c}{r} \frac{z - w}{1 - \bar{w}z}, \quad z \in D.$$

Actually, the equality holds if and only if $\log(|h|^2 + |f_2|^2)$ is harmonic or g is a constant c .

4. Proofs of Theorems 2, 3, and 4

The spirit of the proof is the same as in Section 3 in each case. It suffices to observe the analogies of type (3.1) and (3.5) which we denote by (3.1.k), (3.5.k), $k=2, 3, 4$.

Proof of Theorem 2. For $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $0 < r < \infty$, we have

$$(3.1.2) \quad D(w, r) \subset E \Rightarrow T_1(r, f_{(w)}) \leq 2^{-1} r^2 \left[\sup_{z \in E} f^*(z) \right]^2,$$

and for $w \in C$, $0 < r < \infty$, we have

$$(3.5.2) \quad f^*(w)^2 \leq r^{-2} [\exp\{2T_1(r, f_{(w)})\} - 1].$$

For the proof of (3.5.2) we consider

$$(3.2.2) \quad zg(z) = \frac{f_{(w)}(rz) - f(w)}{1 + \overline{f(w)} f_{(w)}(rz)} = f_1(z)/f_2(z) \quad \text{on } \bar{D}.$$

Remark. For f meromorphic in C , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} f^*(z) = 0. \\ \Leftrightarrow & \lim_{|w| \rightarrow \infty} T_1(r, f_{(w)}) = 0 \quad \text{for each } r \in (0, \infty). \\ \Leftrightarrow & \lim_{|w| \rightarrow \infty} T_1(r, f_{(w)}) = 0 \quad \text{for an } r \in (0, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

We further remark that the equality in (3.5.2) for a pair w, r ($0 < r < \infty$), holds if and only if there exists a constant c such that

$$\frac{f(z) - f(w)}{1 + \overline{f(w)} f(z)} = \frac{c}{r} (z - w), \quad z \in C.$$

Proof of Theorem 3. For $E \subset D$ and $0 < r < 1$,

$$(3.1.3) \quad \Delta(w, r) \subset E \Rightarrow T_2(r, f_w) \leq \left(\log \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r^2}} \right) \left[\sup_{z \in E} (1 - |z|^2) |f'(z)| \right]^2,$$

and for $w \in D$, $0 < r < 1$,

$$(3.5.3) \quad (1 - |w|^2)^2 |f'(w)|^2 \leq 2r^{-2} T_2(r, f_w).$$

For the proof of (3.5.3) we consider

$$(3.2.3) \quad zg(z) = f_w(rz) - f(w) \quad \text{on } \bar{D}.$$

Then,

$$r^2 (1 - |w|^2)^2 |f'(w)|^2 = |g(0)|^2 \leq M(1, |g|^2) = M(r, |f_w - f(w)|^2) = 2T_2(r, f_w).$$

Remark. For f holomorphic in D , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} (1 - |z|^2) |f'(z)| = 0. \\ \Leftrightarrow & \lim_{|w| \rightarrow 1} T_2(r, f_w) = 0 \quad \text{for each } r \in (0, 1). \\ \Leftrightarrow & \lim_{|w| \rightarrow 1} T_2(r, f_w) = 0 \quad \text{for an } r \in (0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

We further remark that the equality in (3.5.3) for a pair w, r ($0 < r < 1$), holds if and only if

$$f(z) - f(w) = \frac{c}{r} \frac{z - w}{1 - \bar{w}z}, \quad z \in D.$$

Proof of Theorem 4. For $E \subset D$ and $0 < r < 1$,

$$(3.1.4) \quad \Delta(w, r) \subset E \Rightarrow T_3(r, f_w) \leq \left(\log \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r^2}} \right) \left[\sup_{z \in E} (1 - |z|^2) f^*(z) \right]^2,$$

and for $w \in D$, $0 < r < 1$,

$$(3.5.4) \quad (1 - |w|^2)^2 f^*(w)^2 \leq r^{-2} [1 - \exp\{-2T_3(r, f_w)\}].$$

For the proof of (3.5.4) we consider

$$(3.2.4) \quad zg(z) = \frac{f_w(rz) - f(w)}{1 - \overline{f(w)} f_w(rz)} \quad \text{on } \bar{D}.$$

Then $|g(0)| = r(1 - |w|^2) f^*(w)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} -\log(1 - |g(0)|^2) &\leq M(1, -\log(1 - |g|^2)) \\ &= M\left(r, -\log\left(1 - \left|\frac{f_w - f(w)}{1 - \overline{f(w)} f_w}\right|^2\right)\right) = 2T_3(r, f_w). \end{aligned}$$

Remark. For f holomorphic and bounded, $|f| < 1$, in D we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} (1 - |z|^2) f^*(z) &= 0. \\ \Leftrightarrow \lim_{|w| \rightarrow 1} T_3(r, f_w) &= 0 \quad \text{for each } r \in (0, 1). \\ \Leftrightarrow \lim_{|w| \rightarrow 1} T_3(r, f_w) &= 0 \quad \text{for an } r \in (0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

We further remark that the equality in (3.5.4) for a pair w, r ($0 < r < 1$), holds if and only if there exists a constant c , $|c| \leq 1$, such that

$$\frac{f(z) - f(w)}{1 - \overline{f(w)} f(z)} = \frac{c}{r} \frac{z - w}{1 - \bar{w}z}, \quad z \in D;$$

apparently, $|c| \leq r$.

5. Further about Bloch functions

In view of the expression (2.3) and the criteria for $\alpha_3(f) < \infty$ just after Theorem 3, we easily find that the following gives more detailed criteria.

THEOREM 5. For f holomorphic in D the following are equivalent.

$$(5.1) \quad f \text{ is Bloch.}$$

(5.2) For each r , $0 < r < 1$, we have

$$\sup_{w \in D} \max_{|z|=r} |f_w(z) - f(w)| < \infty.$$

(5.3) For an r , $0 < r < 1$, we have

$$\sup_{w \in D} M(r, \log |f_w - f(w)|) < \infty.$$

Proof. (5.1) \Rightarrow (5.2). It follows from

$$\sup_{z \in D} (1 - |z|^2) |f'(z)| = \alpha < \infty$$

that

$$|f(z_1) - f(z_2)| \leq \alpha \tanh^{-1} \left| \frac{z_1 - z_2}{1 - \bar{z}_1 z_2} \right| \quad \text{for } z_1, z_2 \in D,$$

so that

$$|f_w(z) - f(w)| \leq \alpha \tanh^{-1} r \quad \text{on } |z| = r.$$

Since (5.2) \Rightarrow (5.3) is trivial, it remains to be proved that (5.3) \Rightarrow (5.1). For g of (3.2.3) we have

$$\log |g(0)| \leq M(1, \log |g|) = M(r, \log |f_w - f(w)|).$$

Consequently,

$$(1 - |w|^2) |f'(w)| \leq r^{-1} \exp M(r, \log |f_w - f(w)|) \quad \text{for all } w \in D.$$

Remark. Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} (1 - |z|^2) |f'(z)| &= 0. \\ \Leftrightarrow \lim_{|w| \rightarrow 1} \max_{|z|=r} |f_w(z) - f(w)| &= 0 \quad \text{for each } r \in (0, 1). \\ \Leftrightarrow \lim_{|w| \rightarrow 1} M(r, \log |f_w - f(w)|) &= -\infty \quad \text{for an } r \in (0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha_4(f) \leq 1$ for f holomorphic and bounded, $|f| < 1$, in D , analogies of Theorem 5 in the present case are not interesting. However, the equivalence of the following is significant.

- (I) $\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} (1 - |z|^2) f^*(z) = 0.$
- (II) $\lim_{|w| \rightarrow 1} \max_{|z|=r} \left| \frac{f_w(z) - f(w)}{1 - \overline{f(w)} f_w(z)} \right| = 0 \quad \text{for each } r \in (0, 1).$
- (III) $\lim_{|w| \rightarrow 1} M \left(r, \log \left| \frac{f_w - f(w)}{1 - \overline{f(w)} f_w} \right| \right) = -\infty \quad \text{for an } r \in (0, 1).$

We can prove

$$2T_3(r, f_w) = M\left(r, \Psi\left(\left|\frac{f_w - f(w)}{1 - \overline{f(w)}f_w}\right|\right)\right),$$

where $\Psi(x) = -\log(1-x^2)$, $0 \leq x < 1$. Since $2\log x \leq \Psi(x)$ and $\Psi(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow 0$ we can easily observe that the criteria at the end of Section 4 follow. To prove (III) \Rightarrow (I) we consider g of (3.2.4). Then,

$$\log |g(0)| \leq M(1, \log |g|) = M\left(r, \log \left|\frac{f_w - f(w)}{1 - \overline{f(w)}f_w}\right|\right).$$

The remaining details are left as exercises.

6. Comparisons of T_k , $k=1, 2, 3$

If f is holomorphic in D_A , $0 < A \leq \infty$, then

$$(6.1) \quad T_1(r, f) \leq T_2(r, f) \quad (0 < r \leq A),$$

and if f is holomorphic and bounded, $|f| < 1$, in D_A , then

$$(6.2) \quad T_2(r, f) \leq T_3(r, f) \quad (0 < r \leq A).$$

We shall give more precise estimates than (6.1) and (6.2) in

THEOREM 6. *For f holomorphic in D_A we have*

$$(6.3) \quad 2T_1(r, f) \leq \log \left[\frac{2T_2(r, f)}{1 + |f(0)|^2} + 1 \right], \quad 0 < r \leq A.$$

For f holomorphic and bounded, $|f| < 1$, in D_A we have

$$(6.4) \quad 2T_2(r, f) \leq (1 - |f(0)|^2)[1 - \exp\{-2T_3(r, f)\}], \quad 0 < r \leq A.$$

Since for each constant a , $0 \leq a < \infty$, we have

$$\log \left\{ \frac{2x}{1+a} + 1 \right\} \leq 2x, \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - e^{-2x} \leq 2x \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq x \leq \infty,$$

we easily observe that (6.3) ((6.4), resp.) yields a better estimate than (6.1) ((6.2), resp.).

Proof of Theorem 6. To prove the first half we note that $\Delta \log(1 + |f|^2) = 4f^{*2}$, whence

$$(6.5) \quad 2T_1(r, f) = M(r, \log(1 + |f|^2)) - \log(1 + |f(0)|^2).$$

On the other hand, the geometric-arithmetic inequality yields

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{aligned} M(r, \log(1 + |f|^2)) &\leq \log M(r, 1 + |f|^2) \\ &= \log\{M(r, |f|^2) + 1\} = \log\{2T_2(r, f) + 1 + |f(0)|^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain (6.3) on combining (6.5) and (6.6).

To prove the second half we note that

$$(6.7) \quad 2T_2(r, f) = M(r, |f|^2) - |f(0)|^2.$$

By making use of Ψ in Section 5, we have

$$|f|^2 = 1 - \exp(-\Psi(|f|)).$$

We then obtain

$$(6.8) \quad \begin{aligned} M(r, |f|^2) &\leq 1 - \exp\{-M(r, \Psi(|f|))\} \\ &= 1 - \exp\{-2T_3(r, f) - \Psi(|f(0)|)\}, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (6.7) and

$$1 - |f(0)|^2 = \exp\{-\Psi(|f(0)|)\},$$

yields (6.4).

Remark. The equality in (6.3) holds for an r , $0 < r < A$, if and only if f is a constant. Similarly, the equality in (6.4) holds for an r , $0 < r < A$, if and only if f is a constant.

As an application of (6.3) we remark that the *BMOA* pseudo-norm $\|f\| \geq 0$ of f holomorphic in D is given by

$$\|f\|^2 = 2 \sup_{w \in D} T_2(1, f_w).$$

If $\|f\| < \infty$, then

$$2 \sup_{w \in D} T_1(1, f_w) \leq \log\{\|f\|^2 + 1\}.$$

Therefore, if $f \in BMOA$, then $f \in UBC$, as is observed in [6] with a concrete norm relation. Similarly, we call f holomorphic in D to be of *VMOA* if $\lim_{|w| \rightarrow 1} T_2(1, f_w) = 0$. With the aid of (6.1) we observe that f is a member of UBC_0 in the sense that

$$\lim_{|w| \rightarrow 1} T_1(1, f_w) = 0.$$

References

- [1] LEHTO, O.; A majorant principle in the theory of functions, *Math. Scand.*, **1** (1953), 5–17.
- [2] LEHTO, O. and VIRTANEN, K. I.; Boundary behaviour and normal meromorphic functions, *Acta Math.*, **97** (1957), 47–65.
- [3] POMMERENKE, C.; Schlichte Funktionen und analytische Funktionen von beschränkter mittlerer Oszillation, *Comm. Math. Helv.*, **52** (1977), 591–602.
- [4] TSUJI, M.; *Potential Theory in Modern Function Theory*, Maruzen, Tokyo, 1959.
- [5] YAMASHITA, S.; Hyperbolic Hardy class H^1 , *Math. Scand.*, **45** (1979), 261–266.

- [6] YAMASHITA, S., Functions of uniformly bounded characteristic, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A, I, Math.*, **7** (1982), 349–367.
- [7] YOSIDA, K.; On a class of meromorphic functions, *Proc. Physico-Math. Soc. Japan*, **16** (1934), 227–235; Corrigendum, *ibid.*, **16** (1934), 413.

Department of Mathematics
Tokyo Metropolitan University
Fukasawa, Setagaya
Tokyo 158, Japan